

**MINUTES OF THE
CITY OF HOLLADAY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Thursday, December 8, 2022

6:00 p.m.

City Council Chambers

4580 South 2300 East

Holladay, Utah

ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Rob Dahle
Paul Fotheringham
Dan Gibbons
Matt Durham
Drew Quinn
Ty Brewer

City Staff:
Gina Chamness, City Manager
Holly Smith, Asst. City Manager
Stephanie Carlson, City Recorder
Todd Godfrey, City Attorney

I. *Welcome* – Mayor Dahle.

Mayor Rob Dahle called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 p.m.

II. *Pledge of Allegiance.*

Mayor Dahle led the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. *Public Comments.*

Trudy Jorgensen-Price shared updates about the Library. She thanked all who had reached out to the County Council concerning the Library funding. That budget passed on December 6, 2022. All of the support for the Library was appreciated. Ms. Jorgensen-Price reported that there would be a game night at the Library the following week. The last Storytime of the year would be held on December 13, 2022. She noted that there would be face painting at the Storytime event. There was also a make-your-own gift wrap and hot chocolate event scheduled for December 14, 2022, as well as a Teen Service Project on December 15, 2022. The Library would close at 2:00 p.m. on Christmas Eve and be closed on December 26, 2022, and January 2, 2023. In January 2023, there would be some collaboration with the Arts Council. She looked forward to those events.

IV. *Continued Public Hearing on Proposed Text Amendment to Title 13.72.03 – Lot and Density Standards within the FCOZ Overlay Zone.*

Mayor Dahle reported that the applicant was present and was asked to share comments ahead of the public hearing.

Robert Jensen – applicant. One of the questions during a previous City Council discussion pertained to the impact of the text amendment. Mr. Jensen clarified that the amendment would affect three parcels. One of the parcels was the one he owns, one is next to his parcel, and the third is one-quarter mile away. He noted that the parcel that is one-quarter mile away already has 2.1 acres. It would be possible for that landowner to divide and create two lots. The parcel next to his is 1.03 acres in size. As a result, it would not be possible for that property to be subdivided with the text amendment.

Mr. Jensen spoke to Community Development Director, Jonathan Teerlink, about what could be done with his property if the subdivision was not permitted. For instance, he wanted to understand if horses could be on the land. Mr. Teerlink shared a list of the animals that are permitted in the area. Some of the properties are too steep to build and house animals. However, for his property, there were two fairly flat building locations. If only one lot could be built, it would make sense to allow horses on the second lot. That being said, he felt that a home would look better in the area than animals. Mr. Jensen asked the Council to consider what is best moving forward. Council Member Brewer asked if Mr. Jensen had pursued the possibility of adding neighboring acreage to his property. Mr. Jensen noted that nothing more had happened on that end.

There were no comments. **The public hearing was closed at 6:08 pm**

V. *Consideration of Ordinance 2022-23 Amending Title 13.72.03 Lot and Density Standards within the FCOZ Overlay Zone.*

Council Member Gibbons recalled that the Planning Commission denied the application by a vote of 4-to-1. Mr. Teerlink clarified that Commissioner Banks was the one vote in support of the application. His vote was due to the fact that the amendment would impact only a few parcels. The main argument against the application was that the FCOZ focused on both view and slope protection.

Council Member Brewer believed that whatever is built will need to conform to all building codes based on the slope. This was confirmed. Mr. Teerlink explained that the Building Code would apply regardless of the overlay. It was not a more rigorous Code because of the FCOZ. Structurally, there would be some different engineering requirements to address the slopes but the Building Code would still apply in the same way. Council Member Brewer pointed out that the language referenced the protection of private property rights. When it came to the overlay zone, one size did not fit all. The subject piece in this application sat between I-215 and Wasatch Boulevard. It was a relatively barren hillside that has one-half-acre lots immediately adjacent. There was a lot of building higher than this. Due to geographical constraints, the homes that could be built on the subject property would be smaller. The applicant has 1.97 acres, which is very close to the two acres needed for the two lots. He agreed with Commissioner Banks in this case and felt that the amendment would have a low impact. Council Member Brewer noted that Mr. Jensen purchased the property before the FCOZ was adopted by Salt Lake County. There was a certain amount of value that was taken away after the fact. While he understood the concerns expressed by other Council Members, he supported the amendment.

Mayor Dahle explained that this had been a difficult proposal. He did not like to go against the Planning Commission in most cases because he respects the process they go through. Mayor Dahle explained that the FCOZ was established in the 1990s and there were opportunities for public input at that time. He did not know if Mr. Jensen had expressed concerns back then. This text amendment was being proposed to benefit one property owner. He was not sure if that was appropriate. However, it was true that the amendment would only impact three total parcels.

Mr. Teerlink reported that the boundary of the FCOZ was arbitrary. He guessed that it was placed due to elevation. For instance, one street had the FCOZ requirement and another street side did not.

Council Member Fotheringham informed the Council that his position on the request had not changed. Council Member Quinn noted that she is normally opposed to any sort of change that will impact properties in addition to a subject property, but she believed the change to other properties was negligible. She agreed with many of the points shared by Council Member Brewer.

Council Member Brewer moved to ADOT Ordinance 2022-23 – Amending Title 13.72.03 – Lot and Density Standards within the FCOZ Overlay Zone. Council Member Gibbons seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Nay; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gibbons-Nay; Council Member Fotheringham-Nay; Mayor Dahle-Aye. The motion failed 3-to-3.

VI. Reports and Presentations.

a. Staff Service Awards – Gina Chamness.

Manager Chamness reported that three years ago a process was created to recognize City Staff. This included a small financial gift that was approved as part of the Employee Manual and a public thank you. The Staff Service Awards are awarded once a year. She recognized Assistant City Manager, Holly Smith, and Executive Director of Holladay Arts, Sheryl Gillilan.

b. Salt Lake County Animal Services Report – Talia Butler, Division Director.

Ms. Smith acknowledged the presence of Talia Butler and Carrie Sibert from Salt Lake County Animal Services. She reported that the City of Holladay contracted with the County to provide those services to residents. Ms. Butler and Ms. Sibert would share statistics about the services. Nationally, Salt Lake County Animal Services was known to be an exceptional Animal Services Department.

Ms. Butler shared a slideshow presentation with the Council. She reported that 2023 marked an important year because it represents a decade of no-kill. Salt Lake County Animal Services was one of the first municipal shelters in the nation to reach that milestone. A lot had been planned for the next year to highlight that meaningful milestone. Ms. Butler stressed the importance of education, outreach, quality of service, and economies of scale. She reviewed some of the services that are currently available in the City of Holladay as well as some accomplishments that were specific to 2022.

The fee schedule had not been updated since 2017 but some of the fees would increase in the next year. Ms. Butler shared information related to the fee schedule. Revenues decreased in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. She explained that the revenues are used to pay for capital projects, trucks, and building repairs. Animal Services' capital needs are all paid for through fee revenues. Currently, they are behind and that was the reason for the fee increases. Every city that is served by Salt Lake County Animal Services has the same fee schedule. There was a desire to continue that so the fees are uniform across the board. She shared the proposed service-related increases and explained that the increases ensure that the costs are within industry standards.

License totals for the City of Holladay were shared. Ms. Butler explained that there were not a lot of residents obtaining licenses. The City should have approximately 11,000 licenses, but there were approximately 700 to 800. More residents need to obtain licenses. Intake statistics for the City of Holladay were shared as well as the type of animals that were received. There was also a breakdown shared of the priority levels for field calls. Ms. Sibert reviewed some of the field statistics and trends for the City over the past year.

They are also seeking funding through donations and grants. Ms. Sibert reported that some American Rescue Plan Act (“ARPA”) funding was received. The County Council approved funding for a study and she was hopeful that in the future there would be a regional adoption center.

c. Y2 Analytics Survey Discussion – Kyrene Gibbs.

Kyrene Gibbs started with some of the key findings. A strong majority of residents were highly satisfied with the quality of life in the City and only 8% of residents rated the quality of life below 50 on a 0 to 100 scale. That meant there was a positive view of the City and the provided services overall. She reviewed the survey results and highlighted some areas. The full survey results will be posted on the city website.

Specific concerns were raised by residents. According to City of Holladay residents, the primary issues facing the City relate to growth and traffic. Other issues pertained to housing affordability and availability. As for City services specifically, the highest satisfaction ratings went to fire and emergency medical services. Trash collection and police services were also highly rated. Snow removal services, City parks, open spaces, and City events were rated favorably as well. Ms. Gibbs reported that there were some decreases in overall rating satisfaction. She believed this had to do with a more pessimistic view. This was seen in communities all along the Wasatch Front.

Residents were asked how they received information from the City and how they would prefer to receive communication. Ms. Gibbs reported that emails and City inserts in *The Holladay Journal* were the most favored modes of communication. Questions were posed that relate to neighborhood and community development as well as sustainability and energy. Those questions had not been in previous surveys. The most popular potential development was a small community shopping center. Residents want access to commercial development but wanted it on a neighborhood scale so it fit the character of the community. The next most popular potential development was mixed-use development that combines housing with commercial opportunities. The survey also asked about the type of housing that residents most wanted to see. Based on the results, there was a desire to preserve single-family homes. Additionally, the majority of residents were interested in seeing the City become more sustainable and environmentally friendly.

Residents were asked about the direction the City was heading. 45% felt that Holladay was currently heading in the right direction, 23% felt that the City may be heading in the wrong direction, and one-third of residents declined to express an opinion on the matter.

When looking at the City now compared to five years ago, a slightly larger share of residents felt things were more or less the same as they were in the past. However, there was a decline in the proportion of residents who felt that things had gotten better. As the City continues to grow and change, there were more middle responses rather than enthusiastic responses. Ms. Gibbs reported that residents were asked what they like most about living in the City of Holladay. The most common answers were the sense of community and the beautiful atmosphere.

Residents were asked what kind of businesses they wanted to see in the City that are not currently available. There was not one clear theme, but residents were largely interested in mom-and-pop cafes and restaurants that are not chains. Overall, residents felt the City has the types of businesses they want to see.

For streets and roads throughout the City, residents felt that drivers ignored speed limits and drove too quickly on neighborhood roads as compared to City roads. Ms. Gibbs explained that this was a slim margin. Overall, residents believed that speed adherence was comparable between major thoroughfares and neighborhood roads. There were also similar perceptions of pedestrian safety, cyclists, and maintenance. The area where there was the most difference in opinion was street lighting. Some residents felt strongly that the neighborhoods should not be well-lit and that major thoroughfares should be more well-lit than neighborhood streets.

Ms. Gibb reviewed information about sustainability initiatives in the City. 53% of residents believed it was very important and 84% of residents felt it was at least somewhat important for the City to become a more sustainable and environmentally friendly City in the future. Some of the initiatives that residents would support included preserving and planting trees, further water conservation efforts, reducing carbon emissions to improve air quality, and improvements in waste management.

Ms. Gibbs shared information about potential development in the City of Holladay. Residents were most interested in small shopping centers or single-family homes with large yards. Those types of development were thought to preserve the character of the community. Some development that was less palatable but still had some interest included single-family garden courtyard homes with shared open space and mixed-use development. Other forms of housing density were significantly less popular. 42% of residents stated that single-family homes with large yards would fit well in their area and 1 in 5 residents felt that would be appropriate somewhere else in the City. 23% of residents felt a small shopping center would fit well in their part of the City but 40% felt that would fit better elsewhere. 16% of residents believed that mixed-use developments would fit well in their neighborhoods and 29% felt they would fit best somewhere else. 1 in 4 residents suggested that single-family garden courtyard homes with shared open space would fit well in their area. 19% felt that type of development would fit better elsewhere in the City.

VII. *Consideration of Resolution 2022-29 Granting the Advice and Consent of the City Council for the Appointment of Members to the Tree Committee as Established in Section 2.08.010G of the City Code.*

Council Member Durham moved to APPROVE Resolution 2022-29 – Granting the Advice and Consent of the City Council for the Appointment of Members to the Tree Committee as Established in Section 2.08.010G of the City Code. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gibbons-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2022-29 was approved by a unanimous vote.

VIII. *Consideration of Resolution 2022-30 Approving the Ninth Amendment to the Unified Police Agreement.*

Mayor Dahle that Resolution 2022-30 was driven by the City of Midvale, a member entity in the UPD. Midvale had concerns about a budget target being set during the budgeting season and then that number changing down the line. For most cities, the police line item was approximately 30% of the entire city budget. It was a significant piece of the budget and when the number changes significantly in May and June, it creates issues.

Mayor Dahle reported that there was a trigger in the Interlocal Agreement that allowed for a weighted vote if there was an action that affected the budget. Two members of the Board are required to trigger that weighted vote. Midvale wanted the Finance Committee and the Board to set the budget target in March. If in May and June, the budget exceeds the target number, it would need to be handled through a weighted vote of the Board. That was the proposed amendment to the Interlocal Agreement.

Council Member Gibbons moved to APPROVE Resolution 2022-30 – Approving the Ninth Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement Between the Member Entities of the Unified Police Department and the City of Holladay Relating to Police Services. Council Member Durham seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gibbons-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2022-30 was approved by a unanimous vote.

IX. Consideration of Resolution 2022-31 Adopting the 2023 Meeting Schedule.

Mayor Dahle there had been discussions about moving the January 5, 2023 meeting to January 12, 2023, instead. Discussions were had about the meeting scheduled for July 6, 2023. Mayor Dahle asked that the City Council Meeting be scheduled for July 13, 2023, instead.

Council Member Durham moved to APPROVE Resolution 2022-31 – Adopting the 2023 Meeting Schedule with the following amendments: changing the January 5, 2023 meeting to January 12, 2023, and changing the July 6, 2023 meeting to July 13, 2023. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gibbons-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2022-31 was approved by a unanimous vote.

X. Consent Agenda.

a. Approval of Minutes – October 6 and November 3, 2022.

Council Member Quinn moved to APPROVE the Consent Agenda. Council Member Brewer seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

XI. City Manager Report.

a. Financial Report.

Ms. Chamness shared updates with the Council. She congratulated the UPD for winning the Food Pantry challenge. The three agencies working together raised \$3,500 and there were 27 bins of food. This was impressive and it was something that would be done again next year.

Ms. Chamness reported that there could be a potential change in staffing. Three years ago, there were three full-time park staff members and additional seasonal workers. With the retirement of one of those full-time positions, the City filled the full-time position with a part-time person. That employee, who had been part-time for the last three years, was now interested in moving into a full-time position. This would return the model that was in place three years ago. From a cost standpoint, that was something the City could absorb but there would be a change in benefits. Ms. Chamness believed this was well worth it as there was an ongoing need for Parks workers. Council Member Durham wondered if there was a real need to increase the part-time position to full-time. Ms. Chamness confirmed this. Council Member Fotheringham expressed his support for the full-time position and

pointed out that the survey results indicated that parks, open spaces, and outdoor events were a priority for residents.

Ms. Chamness referenced a letter received from the Utah Department of Workforce Services. There had been a notification about a deficiency with the Moderate-Income Housing Plan that was discussed at a previous meeting. She reiterated that the areas of concern were almost entirely technical and involved copying and pasting the State Statute into the General Plan. To make those changes, the Council would need to readopt the language. This would be done during the first Council Meeting in January 2023. The City would then be compliant.

Ms. Chamness noted that there was a need for the Council to receive emergency management training. The proposal was to set aside one hour per month for the first three months of the year immediately before the City Council Meetings. This would run from 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. in January, February, and March. The training would take place on the third Thursday of each month. In April, there would be a table talk emergency exercise. That information would be shared shortly.

The Financial Report through the end of October 2022 was shared. Ms. Chamness reviewed the revenue sources. Property taxes were due at the end of November. The City would receive a distribution in December and funds would continue to trickle in through March. She believed the City would be on budget by the end of the year. As for sales tax, the number in the report reflected three months of sales tax revenue. The City was slightly above the sales tax projections and on budget with the remainder of the revenue sources. However, she noted that the Justice Court revenue was trending slightly below budget. There had been discussions about a potential partnership with Millcreek that would benefit both communities. In terms of expenses, the City was on budget.

XII. Council Reports and District Issues.

Council Member Brewer reported that he had spoken to Eric Gardner regarding the bicycle races. For 2023, another venue was set and the City of Holladay would not be needed. He wondered if something could be coordinated for 2024 so there could potentially be an abbreviated race series.

Council Member Durham informed the Council that he attended the Skyline Community Council Meeting a few weeks ago. At that time, he received construction updates. The work was taking longer than anticipated but was moving forward. There was a great resource at Skyline High School. The Principal was doing a lot of innovative work that was exciting to hear about. Council Member Durham also shared updates about the Tree Committee. At the last meeting, there was a report about the City property tree inventory. A consultant had done an inventory to determine what trees the City had and what condition those trees were in. This would be a good tool for the City to use as maintenance and improvements in public spaces were planned. Additionally, the Tree Committee was starting to plan events for next year. There was a desire to collaborate with the library in addition to the Tree Giveaway event and the Arbor Day events that were traditionally done.

Council Member Durham recognized Francoise Hibbs for all of her hard work on the Tree Committee. She had been on the Committee for six years and was a dedicated and passionate member. Most recently, she was instrumental in working on the Tree Ordinance for parking lots. She had been a real asset to the City and the Committee. He thanked her for her service.

Council Member Quinn noted that she had a conversation with a constituent about his desire to see the east side of the Granite School District become a separate School District. According to this constituent, after the Canyons split was finalized, the Legislature codified steps that needed to be taken to separate from a district. One of those steps involved a vote from the City Council of the cities involved. If this moved forward, the Council would be involved in some sort of vote.

Council Member Gibbons reported that Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling voted on the fee increase as part of the budget for next year. He had also been approved as the Chairman of the Board of Trustees for next year. Something that was high on the agenda next year was what to do with the scrap program. That program had been set aside during the COVID-19 pandemic due to staffing issues. He explained that the staffing issues were ongoing, but it was a priority to revisit that program. On a personal note, he stated that 23 years ago, The City of Holladay was incorporated. Council Member Gibbons was on the first City Council and he had vivid memories of what it was like back then. He was grateful for what Holladay had since become and expressed his gratitude.

Council Member Fotheringham reported that he received a call from a neighbor about some work in the neighborhood that was being done by CenturyLink. It may be worth discussing whether some coordination could take place. Alternatively, the Council could discuss the possibility of a moratorium for recently redone roads. This could ensure that a certain amount of time passed before the roads were drilled into and utilities were added. It was important to preserve the newly paved roads for as long as possible before the roads were impacted by a franchise need.

Ms. Chamness reported that there was a Utility Coordination Meeting on a semi-annual basis. There was a desire to coordinate those kinds of projects. She explained that there was currently a moratorium in the City Ordinance for three years. There were exceptions provided as well.

Mayor Dahle informed the Council that he attended the Granite School District Board Meeting on December 6, 2023. There had been a vote on the Boundary Study. Option C was adopted, which would force the closure of Spring Lane, Twin Peaks, and Millcreek Elementary. Another study would look at more of the Millcreek area. He believed the closures were scheduled to take place in the coming year. Mayor Dahle would reach out to find out what this meant for the City. A meeting would be set up with relevant parties in the next little while.

XIII. Recess City Council in a Work Meeting.

Council Member Durham moved to recess the City Council Meeting and reconvene in a Work Meeting. Council Member Brewer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. The Council convened in a work meeting at 8:10 pm

a. Discussion on Potential Fundraising Contract for the City – Holly Yocum.

Mayor Dahle reported that there had been a presentation from Pathway Associates in the past. There was a desire to look at the work that the foundation was doing and contract with professionals to determine if it was possible to accomplish some of the fundraising goals.

Council Member Brewer asked for additional information about the proposed roles and the costs associated with those. He also wanted to understand what the City could expect moving forward. Ms. Yocum reported that for costs, there were two different options proposed. The first was \$1,600, which would involve looking at all of the properties, creating some dollar amounts, and looking at

larger contributions in the community. That was a shorter contract period. The other was \$3,000 per month, which seemed to align more with the request that had been made by the City.

As for the foundation, Ms. Yocum explained that someone would need to run the foundation, the meetings, and the fundraising portion. That had been explored and examples had been shared. The pros and cons of that approach had been weighed. Ms. Yocum noted that the City also explored bringing on a member of City Staff or a contractor to handle the work under the umbrella of the City rather than there being a separate entity. Ultimately, it was determined that the City wanted to move forward with a contract. The City approached Pathway Associates seeking services to help revitalize, guide, and support grant and fundraising within the City. Pathway Associates would provide start-up and ongoing management of a development program while creating an ongoing plan.

Pathway Associates would first come in and analyze past fundraising. A 90-day detailed plan for a path forward would be developed. Additionally, research would be conducted to determine the potential donors. Ms. Yocum had a list started already but additional names could be added to that list. All of the research would be provided to the City in a research report. That information would be provided and utilized moving forward. Potential grants would also be explored for the City. Some of the project priorities were the lights for the skate park and the museum project. Those projects would be considered top priorities, but there would also be work with the Council and City Staff to determine other priority areas for potential grants. Pathway Associates would write the grants, put together the budget with City Staff, and submit the information. Any follow-up requests related to the grant would also be handled by Pathway Associates.

An annual fundraising plan would be created. Ms. Yocum explained that Pathway Associates would look at the priorities, capital maintenance list, and wish list items to determine what would be best to prioritize in terms of funding. The goal was to focus on 6 to 10 grants per year. There may be other grant possibilities as well, but the work needed to be manageable for City Staff if the funding was received and the programs were able to be implemented. There would be monthly updates to City Staff and the City Council. Ms. Yocum discussed special event fundraising. Pathway Associates would look at some of the current programs and make some recommendations on potential sponsors for those events. This could help expand the programs and cover costs.

Ms. Yocum discussed collecting donations. She explained that Pathway Associates had several examples for consideration. The proposal was a year-long contract so it would be possible to do research, look at projects, and apply for grant funding. If the collaboration was not working, there could be discussions about that. The work needed to benefit the City and Pathway Associates. There was a desire for everyone involved to be successful. She noted that there was a need for support during the process, especially from elected officials. Discussions were had about mailers and outreach. Ms. Yocum explained that there would likely be a more targeted approach.

Council Member Fotheringham asked about the spending authority for the money that was raised. He wondered if it would be in a separate fund or if it would be added to the City budget. It was important to understand how the fundraised money was spent versus tax dollars. Ms. Chamness explained that there had been a lot of discussions about the recommended model of governance. Ultimately, it was determined that governance should remain at the City Council level. In terms of accounting, that could be handled a number of ways. Ultimately, it would be a General Fund component in the same way that the Arts Council was. The Council discussed other municipalities

that Pathway Associates had worked with that were similar to Holladay. Ms. Yocum shared information about her background and experience working for Salt Lake County.

Ms. Chamness was looking for direction from the Council about next steps. The plan was to bring a budget amendment to the Council in February 2023. She wanted to know whether the Council would be supportive of the cost of the contract for the remainder of the fiscal year. This was confirmed. Mayor Dahle hoped that funds would be raised and beneficial work would be done.

b. Discussion on Potential Town Hall Meetings.

Mayor Dahle reported that there had been a discussion about potentially running some Town Hall Meetings in March or April 2023, after the results of the survey had been further considered. Town Hall Meetings could be about specific issues within the community, but this would be for updates and general feedback.

Council Member Fotheringham noted that he received a lot of inquiries about the Cottonwood Mall. He wondered if it would be possible to receive an update from the developer. Council Member Brewer stated that he also receives a lot of questions about that development. Mayor Dahle believed it would be possible to share information with Council Members and the public. He suggested that something be added to the City website or *The Holladay Journal*. Ms. Chamness noted that it was important to distinguish the role of the City from the role of the developer.

Mayor Dahle asked that updated information be shared with the public. There could be an article in *The Holladay Journal* as well as a post on the City's website. This could be done in January or February 2023.

***c. Calendar
Council Meetings – January 5 and 19, 2023.***

The calendar items were reviewed and discussed. Mayor Dahle noted that the January 5, 2023, City Council Meeting was rescheduled to January 12, 2023. There was discussion about events that would take place in January.

XIV. Closed Session Pursuant to Utah Code Section 52-4-204 and 205 to Discuss the Physical or Mental Health or Professional Competence of an Individual, Potential Litigation, Property Acquisition, and Disposition and Deployment of Security Systems.

Council Member Quinn moved that the City Council go into Closed Session Pursuant to Utah Code Section 52-4-204 and 205 to Discuss the Physical or Mental Health or Professional Competence of an Individual, Potential Litigation, Property Acquisition, and Disposition and Deployment of Security Systems. Council Member Brewer seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gibbons-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. The motion passed unanimously.

The Council convened in a closed session at 8:45 p.m. Those in attendance in the Closed Session included Council Members Durham, Fotheringham, Quinn, Gibbons, Brewer, Gibbons and Mayor Dahle. Others present included Gina Chamness, Todd Godfrey, Stephanie Carlson, Holly Smith and Jon Teerlink.

The minutes of the Closed Session were taken and are on file as a Protected Record.

Council Member Durham moved to adjourn the Closed Session. Council Member Gibbons seconded the motion. The Council roll call vote was as follows: Council Members Durham, Fotheringham, Quinn, Gibbons, Brewer and Mayor Dahle in favor. The motion to go out of closed session at 10:01 p.m. passed with a unanimous vote.

XV. Adjourn.

Council Member Durham moved to adjourn. Council Member Gibbons seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at approximately 9:05 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Holladay City Council Meeting held Thursday, December 8, 2022.

Stephanie N. Carlson, MMC
Holladay City Recorder

Robert Dahle, Mayor

Minutes approved: **January 19, 2023**