

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF HOLLADAY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Thursday, August 17, 2023

6:00 p.m.

City Council Chambers

4580 South 2300 East

Holladay, Utah

ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Dahle

Paul Fotheringham

Dan Gibbons

Drew Quinn

Ty Brewer

Matt Durham (left at 6:15 p.m.)

City Staff:

Gina Chamness, City Manager

Todd Godfrey, City Attorney

Stephanie Carlson, City Recorder

I. *Welcome* – Mayor Dahle.

Mayor Rob Dahle asked Council Member Fotheringham to act as Chair for the City of Holladay City Council Work Meeting. The meeting was called to order at approximately 6:00 p.m.

II. *Pledge of Allegiance.*

Chair Fotheringham led the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. *Public Comments.*

Eric White - 1959 Longview Dr. He shared a comment about the property the City purchased at 1776 Holladay Boulevard and thanked the City for taking that step. There were a lot of ideas about what that area might become but he did not think the answer was clear. Mr. White hoped it would be possible for residents to share input at some point in the future. He knew that the Community Garden was looking for a new space so that was one option to consider. The City was looking at bids to tear down the existing buildings but there were a few terraces there. He wondered if it would be possible to preserve those.

Claire Evans - 1785 E Grover Lane. There was a lot of interest in what would become of the property the city purchased. Nearby neighbors wanted to know if the City would hear residents out. While she was not set on one outcome, she acknowledged the desire for green space. The Holladay Villa is an apartment complex located directly across the street. There are a lot of children there and in the surrounding area but there are no green spaces nearby. This could be a solution to that issue.

IV. *Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Title 13.04 and 13.77 Regarding Landscaping Requirements for New Developments.*

Council Member Fotheringham opened the public hearing at 6:07 pm.

Joan Wolfe - 1875 E Baywood Dr and wanted to see the standards for new development passed by the City Council. She reported that all of the surrounding communities have adopted the standards. There is not as much new construction taking place in the City of Holladay because there is not a lot

of land remaining. As a result, the impacts of the amendments will be relatively small. However, there will be impacts with respect to water efficiency. Ms. Wolfe reported that she did xeriscaping in her yard and was waiting on a rebate from the Central Utah Water Conservancy District (“CUWCD”). If the City passes the amendments, it will make a difference of thousands of dollars to her rebate. Other families in the community were in a similar situation.

Ron Hilton - 2394 Murray Holladay Road. He was in favor of the amendments but wanted to see the City landscaping in public areas follow similar guidelines moving forward. For example, there is a City Ordinance that states that park strips are required unless there are extenuating circumstances. He felt it was important for that Ordinance to be changed as well. Park strips should not be required as the default. The language did not mention xeriscaping either. Mr. Hilton shared information about xeriscaping and noted that he submitted sample images previously. He believed some of the smaller public spaces and park areas could be more efficient.

Council Member Fotheringham reported that email comments were received from Tyler McArthur, Becky Burrage, and Marian Dennison. They were included in the packet.

There were no further comments. The public hearing was closed at 6:13pm..

V. *Consideration of Resolution 2023-24 – Approving a Memorandum of Understanding with Millcreek for Wasatch Boulevard Improvements.*

Council Member Fotheringham reported that the above item related to a Memorandum of Understanding with Millcreek for the Wasatch Boulevard Improvements. It was noted that Council Member Durham had left the City Council Work Meeting due to a pressing family matter.

Council Member Gibbons moved to APPROVE Resolution 2023-24 – Approving a Memorandum of Understanding with Millcreek for Wasatch Boulevard Improvements. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gibbons-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2023-24 was approved by unanimous vote.

VI. *Consent Agenda.*

a. *Approval of Minutes – June 8, 15, and July 13, 2023.*

Council Member Fotheringham reported that the Consent Agenda would be tabled so there would be additional time for the Council to review the Meeting Minutes from June 8, 15, and July 13, 2023.

VII. *City Manager Report – Gina Chamness.*

City Manager, Gina Chamness, shared updates with the Council and reported that the City heard from the Utah Department of Transportation (“UDOT”) that approval of \$7.1 million was recommended for a bridge repair on Highland Drive. It was a bridge that crossed Big Cottonwood Creek in front of the Holladay Hills property. The City had applied for a grant in 2021 for half that amount. The funding had also been recommended by the Wasatch Front Regional Council (“WFRC”) Transportation Commission. Her understanding was there was one more level of approval that needed to be obtained before funding was awarded. She believed it would be awarded in the next month.

City Staff was in the process of extending invitations to candidates who were running for office. The invitations were for an information session that would take place in mid-September or early October. This session would allow City Staff to answer questions candidates might have.

Ms. Chamness reported that Arts Council Director, Sheryl Gillilan, stated that she intends to retire in the middle of October. It would be a loss to the City but she expressed support for Ms. Gillilan as she moves on to the next phase of her life. The Arts Council and the City will be left in a far better place than when she first started in the role approximately six years ago. There would be discussions during the Work Meeting about the position and options moving forward.

VIII. *Council Reports and District Issues.*

Council Member Brewer reported that a constituent reached out to him to express appreciation. The constituent has lived in the City for 40 years and was pleased that the street was redone in front of her home for the first time. Council Member Brewer was glad to hear that the work had been done. He was grateful for the work Staff had done to apply for grants. He also noted that at the corner of Arbor Lane and Highland Drive, the residents agreed to ensure that traffic cannot flow out of Royal Holladay Hills into the neighborhood to the east. The developer indicated that the hardscaping fixtures would be put in shortly to preclude that from happening. The development to the south had construction trucks traveling through the area, which was frustrating to the neighbors. He believed there may be comments about that.

Council Member Fotheringham shared information about the Arts Council. He reported that the Concerts in the Park have been taking place on Saturdays throughout the summer. The Blue Moon Festival will take place on August 26, 2023, and will include bands, vendors, and food trucks.

Council Member Quinn reported that her neighborhood has been torn up for a while due to new water mains being installed. She was pleased to report that the work was nearly complete. The resurfacing needs to be finished and she hoped that would happen soon. It would be wonderful to have smooth roads and not have to deal with water leaks. Council Member Quinn reported that she is the Liaison to Howard Driggs Elementary School. The school was pleased when the Council approved two speed signs for Lincoln Lane where many students walk to and from school. Unfortunately, some of the people who wanted the signs to be placed did not want them in their yards. The City was still trying to find appropriate locations for the signs.

Council Member Gibbons attended Work Meetings related to the potential path between Highland Drive and Knudsen Park. This was a gathering that included several City representatives as well as representatives from the WFRC, UDOT, and Cottonwood Heights. He was enthusiastic about the discussions because until now, Knudsen Park has been a vehicle commuter park. It is not the kind of park where children can safely walk. To have a pedestrian path that goes from Highland Drive through a fairly dense area of the City would be a real amenity. Cottonwood Heights was interested in possible connections on their side of the freeway as well.

Mayor Dahle reminded those present that school has begun. The City reached out to Principal, Eric Bailey at Oakwood Elementary School as a follow-up to see how the absorption of the Spring Lane Elementary School had impacted the traffic levels. There would be follow-up discussions. Mayor Dahle reached out to the Granite School District as well to ensure that there is a current contact list for each of the public schools that serve the City of Holladay. The new Community Council Chairs

are not normally elected until late September. As soon as that takes place, the information will be shared with the City Council. This would establish points of contact for the schools.

Mayor Dahle reported that there was a lot of work being done related to the transition with the Unified Police Department (“UPD”). Significant progress had been made. He thought additional clarity was needed on major issues before a more complete update was shared with the City Council. He believed, however, that within the next month or so he would be able to share that information.

IX. *Reconvene City Council in a Work Meeting.*

Council Member Brewer moved to RECESS the Holladay City Council Meeting and RECONVENE in a Work Meeting. Mayor Dahle seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council. The Council convened in a work meeting at 6:31 pm

a. *Update on Phase of City Hall – Space Redesign.*

City Engineer, Jared Bunch, shared information regarding the City Hall redesign. The City hired Process Studio to provide planning options. The intention was to accommodate an increased number of employees, improve emergency access, and address the workflow. *Dwight Yee from Process Studio* was present to review some of the information. When Process Studio was approached, the idea was to address space and planning issues that were identified within the building. An organizational chart was provided as well as information about what the chart was envisioned to look like in the future. There were discussions with City Staff and common comments and concerns were noted. The repeated concerns were added to an Areas of Concern chart. The chart included the following:

- Break Room;
- Print and Scan Space;
- Mount Olympus Room;
- Conference Room; and
- Private Offices.

In addition, there was a discussion about current and future needs. The intention was to better understand where City Staff believed growth would occur within the organization. Ms. Chamness noted that this was the same future planning information that had been reviewed by the Council at the last City Council Retreat. Mr. Yee shared additional data collection information. Mr. Yee explained that there was a lot of interest in addressing the accessibility issues associated with the building, particularly in terms of the basement and the access to the Mt Olympus Room. He noted that the renovations did not necessarily need to be done all at once. It was possible to piecemeal the renovations over time if desired. The building could be used while the remodeling is done.

Option A – Executive (South) Wing related to the Revised Executive Offices. Mr. Yee noted that there was a need for more individual office spaces as well as an improved workflow. It was noted that the Executive Wing has no secondary egress out of the area. In the event of an emergency, it was recommended that there be some type of secondary exit. It was proposed that an exit door be added as well as a walkway that will take City Staff outside.

When the new walkway is done, it will be possible to address the parking and landscaping and provide a new Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”) parking stall as well as a new exterior entrance into the Big Cottonwood Room. It would be possible to address the ADA issues and give the building a

public façade on the south end, which was a very public-facing portion of the building due to the playground and the parking lot. Mr. Yee shared the Scope and Conceptual Budget information for Option A. There were more details about the scope of work, as well as the estimated costs for construction, furnishings, and so on.

Option B – Executive (South) Wing was another option for the Revised Executive Offices. Mr. Yee explained that this is a secondary option that addresses the exit sequence. A lot of what was suggested remained the same but an Exit/Vestibule was proposed in this particular option. It would provide a secondary egress from the Executive Suite as well as a secure holding room. In the event of a shooting incident, people could be moved to the holding room until it is safe to exit the building. This would also provide a vestibule entrance into the Big Cottonwood Room, which could prevent people from interrupting an event in the Big Cottonwood Room.

The design would still respect the history and architecture of the original building while adding something new. This would give the public component of the building an architectural moment that would clearly communicate to members of the public where it is appropriate to enter the building. Details were shared about the scope of work and the estimated cost for that particular option.

Mr. Yee shared information about Planning Department, and concerns about the public/private interface. There was also a need for additional offices. In the first proposal, which considered existing spaces, some areas would be closed off for security purposes. Private offices and working spaces will be shifted. Mr. Yee noted that the Planning Department asked for a few different options to consider. As a result, there were Alternate Layouts B and C. Mr. Yee shared information about the alternate layouts and some of the changes. He noted that there was Scope of Work information and a cost estimate for the first option presented. Ms. Chamness noted that one of the advantages of moving the public section meant that a conflict point with the court would be shifted. The two most used parts of the building were across from one another.

The next area of review was the Basement – Phased Plans. It was noted that there is a lot of underutilized space in the basement, particularly on the north end of the building. It was proposed that there be a two-phased approach there. Images for Phases One and Two were shown. There would be contained storage within the building that is secure. The fitness area could be moved so that there is natural light. It was noted that a Wellness Room was lacking in the building. That was something that could be added. The Emergency Operations Center (“EOC”) could also have a clear location.

Mr. Yee discussed the Little Cottonwood Room and the way it is used. It was proposed that it become a rectangular space for use. The backspace would become the new Break/Flex Room. That room was flexible enough that it could be used as a casual co-working space. For instance, if consultants were coming in for a short time there would be a comfortable room for them to use. In Phase Two, when City Staff levels increase, the Little Cottonwood Room will become a new Executive Suite. Two additional meeting rooms could be added at that time. Renderings of the Break/Flex Room were shared for reference. It was designed to function as a multi-use space. The Scope of Work and cost estimates were shared with the City Council.

Justice Court information was reviewed. Mr. Yee explained that certain areas are currently unusable because there is no mechanical equipment that works. There was also a need for additional security

and another Client/Conference Room. He identified some of the proposed changes. The Concept Budget Summary was shared, which was the overall summary of the individual spaces. Mr. Yee reported that the costs listed are conceptual and high-level in nature. The biggest challenge was that the estimated costs continue to fluctuate and escalate. There would need to be discussions about how to move forward and obtain more concrete real-time numbers as the process moved ahead.

Mayor Dahle wondered if the seismic work needed to be done first or if it could be done at the same time as the other work. Mr. Yee explained that some of the work could be split. The second option, which included rebuilding the internal masonry walls, would ideally be coordinated with any type of interior renovation. There had been some talk about potential phases to allow the building to operate throughout the construction process. It was important not to displace those who currently worked in the building.

The Council discussed the vestibule versus the walkway exit option. Council Member Fotheringham liked the functionality and aesthetics of the vestibule option and noted that the walkway provides egress but is not ideal as it seems more like a fire escape. Mayor Dahle liked the idea of having the vestibule area that would act as a cushion before someone enters the Big Cottonwood Room. It would be nice to have that buffer area when something is happening inside the room.

Mayor Dahle noted that projections were done for future employee needs. Even if they are off slightly, what was proposed could still accommodate a bit extra. There is enough space in the building but that space is currently being used inefficiently. The building could be redesigned to be more effective. It would still be more affordable to rework the current building than to build a new one.

Ms. Chamness wondered if the Council preferred Option A or B. Mayor Dahle liked the aesthetics of the vestibule option and that there will be a transition area. Other Council Members echoed their support for that option. There was discussion regarding the layout of that option. The renderings were further reviewed. Mr. Yee noted that there had been discussions in the past about exterior stairs. It is necessary to create something that is enclosed but separate. There was a desire to separate public and private spaces. Council Member Gibbons believed the door at the bottom of the stairs would be a steel door with no handle on the outside. This was confirmed. There was reiterated support for the exit and vestibule, which was presented as Option B.

Council Member Brewer wondered if something like this would need to be bonded for. Ms. Chamness reported that there was approximately \$1 million in bond proceeds available currently. There was also some Fund Balance available. It was possible to complete the work in phases over time to spread out the costs. She was not sure that the bond market was ideal currently.

b. *Discussion on Previous Public Hearing.*

Council Member Fotheringham reported that the previous Public Hearing related to proposed amendments to Title 13.04 and 13.77. He referenced the document from the Central Utah Water Conservancy District (“CUWCD”) and stated that there needs to be clarification about whether the amendments will apply to only new development or redevelopment as well. Mr. Teerlink explained that the amendments will address what the CUWCD considered new construction.

Ms. Chamness reported that an estimated 35 homes per year will qualify as new construction, major remodel, or rebuild. Council Member Quinn asked about additional demands on City Staff.

Mr. Teerlink reported that the initial review would be done by the Planning Department. It would take additional time to review the Landscaping Plans and talk to the Landscape Architect. Discussions were had about enforcement. Mr. Teerlink explained that if something is not included within the allotted timeframe, there would be a Notice of Violation. Council Member Quinn expressed concerns about the additional work this will create for Staff in terms of the Landscaping Plans and enforcement. Ms. Chamness estimated that the cost will be approximately \$12,000 in additional City Staff between Code Enforcement and review.

Council Member Fotheringham asked for sample scenarios of remodels. Mr. Teerlink read from the draft text and explained that when 50% is met, the Landscaping Ordinance will be triggered. Council Member Fotheringham agreed that expanding the footprint by that much is essentially new construction. However, if modifications are made and the footprint is not changed, he did not believe it would trigger something in the Landscaping Ordinance. Council Member Quinn noted that the draft language was somewhat vague. Mr. Teerlink offered to look into this further. The Council shared suggested language. There was discussion about the removal of lawns and concerns expressed during the Public Hearing. Mr. Teerlink noted that waterwise landscaping and xeriscaping still needed to be maintained appropriately.

c. *Discussion on Historic Preservation.*

Mr. Teerlink reported that Staff was seeking direction from the City Council on the following questions:

- Does the City Council want to continue to explore a Historic Preservation policy or a program change? If yes, what options should City Staff further research? If yes, how soon does the City Council want to proceed with the next step in this discussion?

Currently, the Holladay Historic Preservation Ordinance focuses only on a handful of designated properties. Currently, four sites are designated. Eight were envisioned by the City's General Plan as being of particular interest. As for the Historical Commission, currently, the Commission does not have a role in designating historic sites or in preservation beyond education and research. There was discussion about the current Ordinance and the rights of the private property owners.

Mayor Dahle asked who determined the four properties that were designated. Mr. Teerlink was not sure how the list was created but believed those properties were brought to the Council for consideration. The intention was simply to give the properties a designation. It was believed the list was likely City-initiated. City Attorney, Todd Godfrey, stated that the designation process was removed because some Council Members were uncomfortable with the way it was written. He was not sure if there was an intent to revisit that at a later date. He discussed the Conditional Use Permit process. Mr. Godfrey explained that he would be more comfortable if changes were made to that language.

Mr. Godfrey asked the Council to consider whether there should be restrictions placed on demolition permits or additional reviews for structural alterations that impact historic portions of a structure. There is a State Code process to designate certain areas as Historic. He felt that the State Code was adequate for that purpose and did not think anything else needed to be added. However, he wanted to hear feedback from the Council. Mr. Godfrey expressed legal concerns about the establishment of a Historic Preservation Authority outside the Planning Commission or City Council. Something like

that would always be a concern to him. It was noted that the City of Holladay is a historic community and Mr. Godfrey thought it was appropriate to step back and determine if there was a desire to preserve.

Mayor Dahle explained that the Meeting Materials Packet described very clearly the role of the Historical Commission, which is education and research. The role of the Historical Commission is not to determine what is historical and to advocate for preservation. It is important to refocus the Commission on those goals moving forward. As for designating pieces of property as being historically significant, that could be problematic. Council Member Fotheringham felt that if a property owner wants to apply for a historic designation to have historic uses that might require a variance to the rights in their zone designation, there should be a process in place to do that. He felt it was important that a process like that be owner-initiated.

Council Member Quinn thought it made sense for a pathway to be created for property owners to request placing their property on a historic designation list. It would be beneficial for the process to be clearly spelled out in the Ordinance. Mr. Teerlink noted that the process would allow the Historical Commission to educate property owners who were interested in pursuing that designation. Council Member Gibbons was impressed by the report and found it to be extremely informative. He did not believe the City Council had a desire to establish a robust Historic Preservation Ordinance.

Council Member Gibbons informed those present that he was opposed to ordinances that burden private property owners. He liked some of the comments shared by Mr. Godfrey, which indicated that there were opportunities to clean up some of the existing language and make sure everything was consistent. That was something he believed should move forward. Council Member Gibbons was supportive of time restrictions on demolition or Conditional Use Permits if feasible. As for a robust Historic Preservation Ordinance, as was seen in places like Salt Lake City and Park City, he did not believe it was appropriate for the City of Holladay based on the size of the City and the cost.

d. *Discussion on Historic Experience RFP.*

Assistant City Manager, Holly Smith, reported that the City of Holladay is preparing to release a Request for Proposals (“RFP”) for the Holladay Historical Exhibit Experience. She reminded the Council Members that the City was able to secure a grant from Salt Lake County. Work was being done with Pathway Associates to secure donations for the project as well. It was now time to release the RFP. Ms. Smith shared Exhibit A: City of Holladay Project Guidance. She asked for the City Council to review that document and share potential edits or additions. The intention was to better communicate the vision of the project. The document was reviewed. Ms. Smith noted that the Historical Commission previously reviewed the guidance document.

Council Member Fotheringham asked about the grant and the anticipated cost to the City. Ms. Smith explained that in the grant application, there was a commitment of \$96,000 from the General Fund. The hope was that approximately \$150,000 could be raised from donations. There was a higher donation target because some of the options being considered would require more funding. The RFP was written to provide flexibility in the implementation. She asked if any Council Members were interested in participating on the RFP Selection Committee. Council Member Gibbons volunteered to serve.

e. *Discussion on 2000 East Cost Sharing with Millcreek.*

Mr. Bunch shared information about potential 2000 East cost sharing with Millcreek. When the City of Holladay received ownership of the Upper Canal facility, the limits extended north into Millcreek City on 2000 East to the diversion point. There was a flagstone rock line section of the ditch that is approximately 1,300 feet in length. Mr. Bunch explained that the rock ditch was in poor condition and needed to be repaired. Millcreek City planned a curb, gutter, and sidewalk project on the same alignment as the ditch and planned to bury the ditch in a pipe and provide access points for those using the irrigation water. Millcreek requested funding participation from the City of Holladay for the project. At one time, in the Long-Term Capital Plan, there was \$250,000 listed for repairs to the rock ditch.

There was discussion regarding the agreements in place and the length of the rock ditch. Mr. Bunch reported that Millcreek City will spend a considerable amount of money on their project due to the way it will be buried. The curb, gutter, and sidewalk will improve the area. The City of Holladay is now being asked if the maintenance funds that were set aside for the ditch could be contributed. The portion contributed would be a small percentage of the overall project cost. Mr. Bunch reiterated that the rock ditch is currently in poor condition. There could be a condition to the contribution. The City of Holladay could offer the \$250,000 if Millcreek agrees to take on the ownership. Ms. Chamness reported that she participated in conversations with the City Manager in Millcreek. Based on those discussions, she did not believe there was an appetite to take on ownership of the rock ditch.

f. *Discussion on Spring Lane Elementary Options.*

Ms. Chamness reported that Spring Lane Elementary School closed in the spring. Granite School District had indicated that the property would not be sold but they were open to a somewhat long-term lease. The kind of term the Granite School District was envisioning was 20 to 25 years. She explained that the timeframe might impact the investment the City would make.

Council Member Fotheringham believed there should be protections in place for the investment. He did not want the school district to be able to break the lease and negatively impact the City without there being some sort of compensation. Mayor Dahle agreed that there should be protections for whatever investment is made in the property. There needed to be some assurances in place.

Council Member Gibbons thought 40 years would be a better lease term than the proposed 20 to 25. Ms. Chamness clarified that the Letter of Intent would come first. It would signal to residents and the Granite School District that there will be some level of study and a conceptual plan. She reported that the cost of that conceptual work would be approximately \$50,000 to \$75,000. A site survey will be conducted as well as pre-design work on the site. Additionally, there would be public engagement. Council Member Fotheringham asked what the Letter of Intent would accomplish. Ms. Chamness explained that it lets the school district know that the City is serious about looking at the property.

Ms. Chamness wondered if the City Council was supportive of the costs for the conceptual work. This was confirmed. She noted that a budget amendment would likely be brought to the Council. An RFP would need to be issued fairly quickly to meet the two-year timeline. Council Members expressed support for the conceptual work costs and issuing the Letter of Intent. Ms. Chamness reported that the Letter of Intent would be finalized in the next month or so. In October, there would likely be a more concrete number for the conceptual work. From there, the RFP could be issued.

g. Discussion on Direction of Arts Council.

Ms. Chamness asked for direction from the City Council about the Arts Council. As she mentioned earlier, Ms. Gillilan will be retiring in mid-October. Before a revised job description is released, she wanted to check in with the Council and determine whether the position should remain at 25 hours per week. She pointed out that for someone with less experience, 25 hours per week might make it difficult to achieve everything that Ms. Gillilan did and there might be a loss of efficiency. Something other cities do is combine the arts function with a cultural component. Moving forward, that position could assume responsibility for the Historical Commission as it relates to their Speaker Series in addition to the arts-related events in the City.

Council Members Gibbons and Fotheringham expressed support for the idea of a combined position. Mayor Dahle believed there would be opportunities to expand further if there was a full-time person. He was in favor of looking into a combined position. Ms. Chamness explained that there would be an increase of 15 hours per week if that option is chosen which would result in higher costs. Council Member Gibbons asked about other cities that have a combined position. Ms. Chamness referenced both Cottonwood Heights and Draper. She believed the City Council was supportive of advertising for a full-time position that is focused on arts and culture.

h. Calendar

- **Council Meetings – September 7 and 21, October 5 and 16, November 2 and 16, and December 14.**
- **Concerts in the Park – Saturdays in August.**
- **Blue Moon Festival – August 26.**

The calendar items were reviewed and discussed.

X. Closed Session Pursuant to Utah Code Section 52-4-204 and 205 to Discuss the Physical and Mental Health or Professional Competence of an Individual, Potential Litigation, Property Acquisition and Disposition.

There was no Closed Session.

XI. Adjourn.

Mayor Dahle moved to ADJOURN the City Council Work Meeting. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at approximately 8:55 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Holladay City Council Meeting held Thursday, August 17, 2023.

Stephanie N. Carlson, MMC
Holladay City Recorder

Robert Dahle, Mayor

Minutes approved: **November 2, 2023**