

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF HOLLADAY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

**Thursday, May 16, 2024
6:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers
4580 South 2300 East
Holladay, Utah**

Briefing Session 5:30 pm

ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Rob Dahle
Paul Fotheringham
Drew Quinn
Emily Gray
Matt Durham
Ty Brewer

City Staff:
Gina Chamness, City Manager
Todd Godfrey, City Attorney
Stephanie Carlson, City Recorder

Mayor Rob Dahle began the Briefing Session at approximately 5:30 p.m. and reviewed the agenda. There will be a continued Public Hearing on proposed amendments to Title 13 – Historic Preservation. Most of the discussion about the proposed amendments will take place during the scheduled Work Meeting.

There will next be a presentation from Salt Lake County Animal Services. City Manager, Gina Chamness, noted that there is a budget issue to discuss. The Tentative Budget is planned for a 5% increase, but the proposal is closer to 20%. As a result, there will need to be an adjustment made before the Final Budget is adopted. City Staff has asked that information be shared about the rationale for that increase and the proposed amount.

Mayor Dahle reported that the next two items on the meeting agenda are agreements related to the Cotton Bottom. There is one agreement that rescinds the right of purchase if there is no site plan approval by the end of December. The exchange for that is taking back approximately 13,000 square feet of property. The thought is that it might be possible to extend the parking to the north, anticipating the trail connection and the use in Knudsen Park. This would ease some of the parking constrictions. There is an agreement that the City will put the light poles in as part of the improvement process when that moves forward, but Cotton Bottom will reimburse the City in an amount not to exceed \$60,000. There is also a License and Encroachment Agreement with Cotton Bottom Properties, LLC. Mayor Dahle reported that it relates to the right-of-way. City Attorney, Todd Godfrey clarified that while it is technically right-of-way, it is being used as part of the outdoor restaurant. It essentially states that Cotton Bottom is allowed to do what is currently being done, but anything beyond that requires approval from the City.

Mayor Dahle reviewed the calendar items and asked about the Truth In Taxation timeline once the budget has been approved. Ms. Chamness explained that once the City signals its intent, the County will schedule the Truth In Taxation Hearings in August 2024. The intention is to make sure residents have the opportunity to share comments. Mayor Dahle suggested that information be included in the August edition of the Holladay Journal.

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF HOLLADAY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

**Thursday, May 16, 2024
6:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers
4580 South 2300 East
Holladay, Utah**

ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Rob Dahle
Paul Fotheringham
Drew Quinn
Emily Gray
Matt Durham
Ty Brewer

City Staff:
Gina Chamness, City Manager
Todd Godfrey, City Attorney
Stephanie Carlson, City Recorder

I. *Welcome* – Mayor Dahle.

Mayor Dahle called the City Council Meeting to order at approximately 6:00 p.m.

II. *Pledge of Allegiance.*

Mayor Dahle led the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. *Public Comments.*

IV. *Continued Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Title 13 – Historic Preservation. (Proposal to Amend the Code relating to Historic Sites; designation process, preservation and modification procedures, allowed uses and conditional use permit requirements. Also, to align Title 13 with Title 2.)*

There were no comments. Mayor Dahle closed the public hearing.

V. *Reports.*

a. *Salt Lake County Animal Services Report – Carrie Sibert.*

Carrie Sibert explained that she is the liaison for Salt Lake County Animal Services and is present to share the 2023 Annual Report. Captain Karl Carpenter and Talia Butler may also share information with the City Council. It was noted that Ms. Butler is attending the meeting virtually.

Ms. Sibert reviewed the annual report presentation slides with the Council. She explained that 2023 was a significant year for Salt Lake County Animal Services, as it represented a decade of being a no-kill shelter. This is meaningful, especially as a municipal shelter. She noted that the no-kill status is important to all.

Some statistics from 2023 were shared. The Animal Control Officers responded to over 18,000 calls for service. Ms. Sibert reported that the team of fosters and volunteers provided over 43,000 hours and over 2,600 pets were adopted or sent to rescue organizations. Additionally, 3,500 pets were sterilized by the in-house veterinary clinic. As for 2023 accomplishments, Salt Lake County Animal Services won two NACo Achievement Awards, one for the F.A.C.E.S. Endowment and

one for the Advisory Committee. Salt Lake County Animal Services also won the 15th time Best in State.

Ms. Sibert shared statistics for Holladay. Ms. Sibert reported that 125 animals were brought in. There were just over 400 calls for service in Holladay. The most common calls are for animal impound or animal at large. There were also citizen inquiries where residents called with questions. For citations and violations, the notice violations are more of a civil ticket and an educational tool. After that comes a criminal citation. However, it ultimately depends on the case. Ms. Sibert explained that sometimes there will be a citation first, depending on the severity of what has happened. As for licenses, there were 369 sterilized, 54 unsterilized, 303 senior annual sterilized, 2 dangerous dogs, and 1 residential permit. Ms. Sibert next highlighted some of the Officers of the Year, including Taylor Turner, Lacy Fett, and Jose Martinez. They all went above and beyond in their duties.

Information about the work done by the volunteers was shared. Ms. Sibert stated that 3,088 hours were spent with the shelter pets. Ms. Sibert discussed the other outreach programs, which include hosted and attended events, adoption events, citizen education, and assistance through the Pet Pantry. \$192,000 of donations was raised through the Outreach Department. Ms. Sibert reported that there are some free training workshops offered as well. There are a variety of workshops offered every month.

Ms. Sibert next reviewed the cost per capita information. There is a cost per capita metric used because it is the most predictable and fair method. With cost per capita, the City is aware of the population, so there is predictability. Ms. Sibert reported that all of the jurisdictions that the agency serves are charged the same rate. Everyone will be charged \$11.41 per capita. She explained that this is a fairly clear population-based metric.

- The County raised the minimum wage to \$15 for employees classified under the County pay grades 12 or below. Many of the staff falls within those grades and would receive an increase;
- Animal Services Veterinarians market salary adjustments;
- The average length of stay for shelter animals increased, thus requiring more supplies, medications, and resources to care for them every day. The market for the supplies increased;
- Based on 2022 audit recommendations, a Capital Projects Fund will be established. This will fund the major repairs. Some of the needs include shelter roof replacement and HVAC. There is a 10 year plan in place, so there is a scheduled progression for the projects;
- There has been a revenue fund decline since the pandemic. Efforts have been put in place to help regain the loss in revenue and to focus on the licensing.

Council Member Brewer asked about the increased length of stay. Ms. Sibert reported that the length of stay is normally 18 days. Mayor Dahle asked about the percentage increase this year. Ms. Sibert does not know the exact percentage. Mayor Dahle wants to know more about the justification for the increase. Ms. Sibert explained that the staff has not expanded, but the increases to wages are a significant component in the need to increase the cost for services.

Council Member Fotheringham stated that the budget document from Animal Services is important, as it will make it easier to address resident questions. The increase request is significantly higher than the rate of inflation, but he acknowledged that Ms. Sibert previously reviewed some of the reasons for the increase. It was noted that the budget document can be shared with Council Members to ensure there is clear communication with residents. Council Member Fotheringham encouraged her to include that document as part of the presentation materials moving forward. It is useful to review the budget document details.

Mayor Dahle noted that Salt Lake County Animal Services does an excellent job. He understands the rationale for the increase, given the wages and the veterinary shortages.

VI. *Ratification of Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County for Highland Drive Corridor Preservation at 4504 South Highland Drive. (\$64,000 to acquire property needed for a transportation project at approximately 4504 South Highland Drive).*

Council Member Brewer moved to RATIFY an Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County for Highland Drive Corridor Preservation at 4504 South Highland Drive. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

VII. *Consideration of Ordinance 2024-07 - Amending Title 13.62 Building Heights in the C-2 Zone. (Amend the maximum building height from 35 feet to 40 feet in all C-2 Zones.)*

Council Member Fotheringham moved to ADOPT Ordinance 2024-07 – Amending Title 13.62 Building Heights in the C-2 Zone. Council Member Durham seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Ordinance 2024-07 was adopted by a unanimous vote.

VIII. *Consideration of Resolution 2024-17 - Authorizing Certain Individuals to Make Changes to the City’s PTIF Fund Account.*

Council Member Fotheringham moved to APPROVE Resolution 2024-17 – Authorizing Certain Individuals to Make Changes to the City’s PTIF Fund Account. Council Member Brewer seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2024-17 was approved by a unanimous vote.

IX. *Consideration of Resolution 2024-18 - Approving an Agreement with BarX Group Relating to Property Commonly Known as the Cotton Bottom Inn (Addendum #5 to the Real Estate Purchase Agreement agreed to submit a final site plan by December 31, 2024, for City approval.)*

Council Member Durham moved to APPROVE Resolution 2024-18 – Approving an Agreement with BarX Group Relating to Property Commonly Known as the Cotton Bottom Inn. Council Member Gray seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2024-18 was approved by a unanimous vote.

X. Consideration of Resolution 2024-19 - Approving a License and Encroachment Agreement with Cotton Bottom Properties, LLC. (Occupy and improve City-owned property located adjacent to the Cotton Bottom Inn and the public right-of-way).

Council Member Gray moved to APPROVE Resolution 2024-19 – Approving a License and Encroachment Agreement with Cotton Bottom Properties, LLC. Council Member Brewer seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2024-19 was approved by a unanimous vote.

XI. City Manager Report – Gina Chamness.

Ms. Chamness had no updates to share with the Council.

XII. Council Reports & District Issues.

Council Member Gray thanked Community and Economic Development Director, Jonathan Teerlink, in the aftermath of an incident that occurred in her district a few weeks ago. Mr. Teerlink has been instrumental in resolving issues with residents. City Staff has been extremely helpful in this situation.

Council Member Quinn reported that most of the complaints she receives relate to speeding. She thanked Chief Justin Hoyal and the officers for their efforts. In her district, there was a speed study done on Olympic Way and Splendor Way. Recently, there was an accident where a vehicle ended up in the canal on Wander Lane. Council Member Quinn feels good about the speeds in the City and appreciates the speed studies that have been conducted. It is nice to have solid data. Mayor Dahle confirmed that the City receives a lot of complaints about speeding, but Chief Hoyal always listens and focuses on monitoring. There is then communication with residents about the results.

Council Member Fotheringham reported that on Monday, the City held an outstanding event that was hosted by the Arts Council and the Historical Commission. There were paintings displayed of some of the historic houses throughout Holladay and there was a lecture from a local historian. It was a fascinating lecture and he congratulated the groups for their efforts at that event.

Council Member Durham attended an event that was co-hosted by Cottonwood Heights and the Happy Healthy Holladay Coalition. It highlighted the Big Cottonwood Creek Trail at Knudsen Park. There were several volunteers from the coalition at that event and a lot of excellent discussion.

Council Member Brewer shared information about the Olympus High School award ceremony where two scholarships were presented. It was wonderful to see donations provided to graduating seniors. As for the Arts Council and Historical Commission event, it was fascinating to learn about the history. Council Member Brewer reported that there was a lighting issue with the skate park lights last night. There was a call received from a resident about that. He hopes that City Staff will be extra careful working with the contractor to ensure that the lights are only on when they are supposed to be.

Mayor Dahle reported that he presented at the Cottonwood High School award ceremony. He noted that there are a lot of events that take place in the community.

XIII. Recess City Council in a Work Meeting:

Council Member Fotheringham moved to RECESS the City Council Meeting and RECONVENE in a Work Meeting. Council Member Durham seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council. The Council convened a work meeting at 6:55 pm

a. Continued Discussion on 2024-25 Tentative Budgets.

• UFA – Captain Brown

Council Member Fotheringham reported that he is on the Unified Fire Authority (“UFA”) Compensation and Benefits Committee as well as the Finance Committee. Those are important committees for UFA.

Capt. Dan Brown reviewed the UFA budget for fiscal year 2024/2025. The key budget impacts are:

- * increased ambulance revenue.
- * Utah Retirement Systems (“URS”) rate decrease.
- * COLA is listed at 3% for all employees. There is a market adjustment listed for sworn and civilian employees of 2.21% and there is a health insurance renewal rate of 5.37%.
- * Other items included a new ambulance, mechanic, operations administrative assistance, behavioral health external clinicians, part-time behavioral health program manager, backfill for the second recruit academy, and non-personnel costs for the second recruit academy.
- * Capital Fund transfer to prepare for the 2025/2026 lease.
- * liability insurance increases
- * Fire Training Facility Feasibility Study.

Council Member Brewer asked about the behavioral health items that were mentioned earlier in the presentation. Capt. Brown explained that the mental health of employees is a priority for UFA. Council Member Brewer believed most mental health services are covered by insurance. He wanted to know more about the various line items, including the external clinicians. Captain Brown noted that a portion of that is actually for retirees. Ms. Chamness stated that URS does a great job of fully funding all of the different systems on a national level. Something unique about fire is that a portion of the cost of retirement is paid through property insurance. That reduces the overall cost to participants in the system. Additional discussions were had about the URS amount.

Council Member Durham asked about the Capital Fund transfer of \$300,000. Capt. Brown reported that it is an additional \$300,000 that relates to the lease payments. Mayor Dahle explained that if money is not added to the Capital Improvement Fund when a lease comes up, there can be some pressing issues. Council Member Gray asked about the timeline for obtaining a fire engine. Capt. Brown reported that once one is ordered, it takes a couple of years for it to arrive

Capt. Brown reviewed the Service Demand Proportioning – City of Holladay information. He shared details about Station 104, Station 110, Station 112, and Station 116. Being surrounded by UFA is a benefit to the City. Ms. Chamness discussed the importance of the UFA model, particularly in the District 5 area.

The UFA Member Fee – Fiscal Year 2024/2025 information was shared. The overall average increase for each of the UFA members is 5.50% but Holladay is slightly higher than that. All of the call levels have increased. The increase for Holladay is 6.74% as opposed to the average of

5.50%. This comes down to the difference in call volume from Station 112 and Station 110 coming into the City.

Council Member Brewer shared comments about the Truth In Taxation process. Ms. Chamness explained that one of the challenges in trying to compare Holladay to other municipalities is that everyone handles the services differently. Council Member Brewer asked about representation on the UFA Board. Council Member Fotheringham clarified that UFSA has a separate Board, but there are also members of UFSA who also have representation on the UFA Board. Council Member Brewer wanted to know whether the weight on the UFA Board is proportionate for Holladay. Council Member Fotheringham stated that there is a provision about a contentious vote. In that case, two Board Members can call for a weighted vote. However, that provision has never been triggered.

Mayor Dahle thanked Capt. Brown for clearly sharing the UFA information.

- **Justice Court – Judge Chin**

Ms. Chamness shared information about the Justice Court. She reported that the Justice Court is serving approximately 128,000 residents between Cottonwood Heights, Holladay, and Millcreek. There is one Judge, one Court Administrator, and three Judicial Assistants.

There is a small increase in the overall Justice Court expenses. Ms. Chamness shared a slide that highlights the changes, most of which are related to the addition of Millcreek. There have been a lot more translation services needed. As a result, that budget is being increased by \$10,000. The Prosecutor has brought to the attention of the City that his workload has shifted. With Millcreek now part of the court, there is a different mix of cases than was seen in Holladay and Cottonwood Heights. He has asked for a 25% increase in the base cost of his services. Cottonwood Heights has agreed to that change as long as they are not absorbing the cost that belongs to Millcreek. Ms. Chamness explained that there will need to be further discussions about how to allocate costs. At this point, she has budgeted for Millcreek to pay for that increase, which Millcreek is aware of.

Ms. Chamness discussed the bailiff position. A year ago, there was uncertainty, so a full-time Unified Police Department (“UPD”) person was budgeted to serve as a bailiff in the court. The bailiff ended up being needed in court approximately 75% of the time. That meant 25% of their time could be used elsewhere.

- **CED – Jonathan Teerlink**

Mr. Teerlink shared information about the Community and Economic Development Department. He reviewed staffing for the department. Expenses for the department were reviewed. Mr. Teerlink noted that there were inflationary changes as well as a request for \$12,000 for a Business License study.

Council Member Brewer pointed out that the Community and Economic Development Department interfaces a lot with the residents. He asked if there was anything needed to better manage the code enforcement side of things. Mr. Teerlink mentioned the tools being used for reporting.

- **Administration – Gina Chamness**

Ms. Chamness shared information about the Administration Department. She stated that expenses in the Administration budget are increasing and a lot of that has to do with the transfer of services.

There are also inflationary increases across the board, which include increases for supplies, utilities, cell phones, transaction fees, credit card processing fees, and so on. There is an increase of \$30,000 in the cost of software as well, which is City-wide. There are a few reductions included in the budget, including one in professional services.

Mayor Dahle asked where the Interfaith Council and Youth Council budget items are listed. Ms. Chamness reported that those are in Non-Departmental. She believes that the Youth Council budget is \$1,000, and the Interfaith Council budget is \$1,500. Mayor Dahle wanted to see the Interfaith Council increase to \$2,000.

Ms. Chamness further reviewed the Non-Departmental information. She reported that the Arts Council is requesting approximately \$5,500 in additional support for the concert series. There is also a request for \$2,000 for a strategic planning effort with the Historical Commission. That money would be used for a facilitator to assist with prioritization and planning related conversations. Ms. Chamness pointed out the addition of \$29,000 in grant-funded expenses for Happy Healthy Holladay. The cost of the July Fourth celebration is increasing and based on direction from the Council in April, there is also an expected increase in the cost of the tickets, which will offset some of that increase.

Discussions were had about the holiday lighting and some possible changes that could occur. As for the Tree Committee, there is a desire to host another giveaway. The hope is for \$25,000 for total expenses with \$5,000 worth of donations coming in. That would result in a \$20,000 General Fund expense.

b. Discussion of Proposed Historic Preservation Ordinance

Mr. Teerlink explained that the amendment would establish a process for a property to be added or removed from historic designation. Mr. Teerlink clarified that this ordinance has been on the list for staff to bring forward to the Council for some time, but it came down to timing with other issues.

Ms. Chamness reported that since she has worked for the City, there has been an awareness that Title 2 and Title 13 are not aligned. That issue was previously brought to the Council so policy direction could be received. Council Member Gray asked about the highlighted text that referenced public notice. Mr. Teerlink explained that the reason it was highlighted is because it is an element staff did not feel strongly about either way. The intention is to receive policy direction from the Council. There are many reasons a property owner might not want a home to remain on the list. For example, the property might be sold to someone else or there could be certain issues with the home. Having the flexibility to remove a property from the list makes sense.

Council Member Fotheringham pointed out that the designations have nothing to do with deed restrictions or property rights. That being said if there is going to be a significant change or demolition, the permit for that work will include a measure of delay in order for the City to document the historical aspects of the structure. Mr. Teerlink explained that there is a second component, which is if the property owner wants to have an economic stream to preserve the property. The property will need to be on the list to have access to those additional land uses. All of the uses need to be approved by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Teerlink explained that the land uses allowed by ordinance are enacted by the Council. That is the list that the Planning Commission uses to attach conditions to mitigate any substantial impacts to the community. Council Member Gray asked if the uses are conditional. This was confirmed. Council Member Gray asked what is being done in other cities. Mr. Teerlink reported that Sandy does not require a public hearing to delist a property.

Council Member Gray noted that there is a potential expansion of the uses on a historic property. If she lived next to a home that wanted to use it for a bed and breakfast, she would want to be made aware of that. Council Member Fotheringham clarified that these discussions relate to delisting a property rather than listing a property. Mr. Teerlink confirmed that to add a property to the list or add additional land uses to a property, there will be a public comment period. The question is whether there should be a public hearing for someone delisting their historic property as well. Council Member Durham expressed concerns about making that kind of decision without a public process. Council Member Gray agreed that it makes more sense for there to be a public process to delist.

Mayor Dahle asked how a property could be added to the list. Mr. Teerlink clarified that only the property owner can make this happen. The owner will apply to the Department and it will be reviewed for completeness and then it will then be considered by the City Council. Mayor Dahle wanted to better understand what the ordinance would change and what issues the Historical Commission has with the proposal. Essentially, the only thing adding a property to the list does is provide a 30-day documentation period and allow for some additional conditional uses.

Council Member Durham noted that sometimes when a property is designated as historic, it is possible to receive certain tax advantages. Mr. Teerlink explained that by the time the property comes to the Council, it will have already gone through registration elsewhere. Mr. Teerlink explained that for tax credits, the property owner would already have gone through a process for that. If a property is unable to be added to the National Historic Register, but believes it is historic, it can still be brought to the Council for that local designation. The City designation could not necessarily be taken to the State and then used as evidence that it is a historic property.

Council Member Quinn referenced the language in F: Criteria (C): "It exhibits significant methods of construction or materials that were used within the historic period." She wanted to better understand what the historical period refers to. There does not seem to be a definition included in the language. Mr. Teerlink shared information about the 50-year period that is covered. Council Member Quinn suggested that more specificity be added.

Additional discussions were had about the process of delisting a property. Council Member Durham reiterated the desire to have a public process for that. Mr. Godfrey thought there should be a process. If land use rights are being added to a property with a designation, it makes sense to have a public process when removing those rights. Ms. Chamness asked what that process would look like. Mr. Godfrey suggested that it be similar to the designation process that has been established.

Mayor Dahle pointed out that the only disadvantage to listing a property is the 30 days to ensure that the home is cataloged if it is determined that the structure will be demolished. Mr. Godfrey noted that those interested in historic buildings might want to look at the property, so there may also be privacy concerns about having the address listed. Council Member Fotheringham asked

whether the delisting process would trigger the 30-day process to document the condition of the structure.

Mayor Dahle asked if documentation would occur if the structure is not being demolished. Mr. Godfrey noted that if a property owner does not want photographs taken of the house, the City will not enforce that. As much documentation as possible can occur from the street. The wishes of the property owner will be respected. He believed it would be wise in the delisting to allow for documentation. This can be done to the extent there is consent from the property owner, but the City will not act contrary to the consent of the property owner. Council Member Gray asked who would be responsible for documenting a historic property. Council Member Brewer believed the Historical Commission would be most interested in handling that work. Ms. Chamness noted that the City might want to use a firm that specializes in architectural history for documentation. There are no requirements about skills to serve on the Historical Commission and membership may change over time. As a result, it doesn't necessarily make sense for the Commission to document the historic properties. Council Member Gray suggested that the Historical Commission be added to a list of possible resources that staff can utilize to accomplish the documentation needed.

Ms. Chamness reiterated that the future composition of the Historical Commission is unknown. As a result, she cautioned the Council from specifically referencing the Commission in the proposed language. Council Member Durham wanted to ensure that there was some input from the Historical Commission. Council Member Quinn suggested that the Historical Commission be enabled to respond to any requests from staff to assist with documentation. Mr. Godfrey does not mind staff having the ability to consult with the Historical Commission or other professionals as needed.

Council Member Durham wanted to understand what the downside would be in stating that staff makes the decision, but as part of the process, there is consultation with the Historical Commission. Mr. Godfrey explained that he worries about creating something in the ordinance that suggests the volunteer group is enabled, authorized, or obligated to serve in that role. He does not want a situation to be created where the thought process is that work does not need to be done through staff.

Council Member Gray wondered whether staff could receive input from the Historical Commission on the applications. Mr. Godfrey noted that there are several different kinds of Historic Preservation Ordinances out there and those vary from city to city. What the Council is talking about now is different than the policy direction received from the Council last year. Ms. Chamness pointed out that the Historical Commission is not called the Historic Preservation Commission. Additional discussions were had about the ordinance and what it is intended to do. Mr. Godfrey noted that the Historical Commission is not intended to serve in a land use role. The responsibilities the Council has been discussing are outside of what the Commission was originally intended to do. Council Member Durham clarified that he is not asking for the Historical Commission to make the final decision, but for Commissioners to be included in the discussion process outlined in the ordinance.

Mayor Dahle understood the various comments that were shared by Council Members. If there is a good relationship between staff and the Historical Commission, there will likely be some level of collaboration. However, it is important to consider potential changes that could occur in the future. It may not be best to have something official in the language. Mayor Dahle noted that

what is being discussed is a process issue. Council Member Fotheringham pointed out that the discussion on a Council level comes down to how much of that process there is a desire to codify.

Council Members further discussed the potential for a public process and the documentation process for historical homes asking to be delisted. Mayor Dahle referenced the four homes highlighted and that they can be updated when the General Plan is done, but will not be added to Title 13. If there is a desire for that to occur, it will need to be at the request of the property owner or with their cooperation. Council Member Brewer suggested that City Staff reach out to the property owners of those homes to communicate ahead of a vote being taken.

c. Calendar

Council Meetings – June 6 and 13, July 11.

The calendar items were reviewed and discussed during the Briefing Session.

XIV. *Closed Session Pursuant to Utah Code Section 52-4-204 and 205 to Discuss the Physical or Mental Health or Professional Competence of an Individual, Potential Litigation, Property Acquisition and Disposition.*

There was no Closed Session.

XV. *Adjourn.*

Council Member Fotheringham moved to ADJOURN. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at approximately 9:04 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Holladay City Council Meeting held Thursday, May 16, 2024.

Stephanie N. Carlson, MMC
Holladay City Recorder

Robert Dahle, Mayor

Minutes approved: **July 11, 2024**